Application No.: 09/757,130 Docket No.: 2200600.00131US1

Response to Office Action dated: November 12, 2009

AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION

Please amend the Specification at page 2, paragraph 19, of the Published Application to

read as follows:

"[0019] Preferably, however, it is sufficient for reduction of the glare problem if only the top one

third of the mirror surface is treated with the anti-glare material. In this preferred embodiment,

only the surface above the line identified by reference numeral 36 is covered with the anti-glare

material. In some embodiments, the portion treated to reduce glare encompasses less than one-

third of the mirror surface."

Please further amend the Specification at page 2, paragraph 20, of the Published

Application to read as follows:

"[0020] In the foregoing description, the surface of the reflecting mirror, which has been treated

for reducing glare, always had a portion which bordered the peripheral circumscribing edge of

the reflecting surface. The peripheral edge is the circumferential edge 50 of the reflecting

surface. However, turning to FIG. 4, the invention also encompasses applying onto the surface of

the reflecting mirror an island of anti-glare coating selected specifically to deal with the location

on the mirror surface from which the undesired reflection emanates. This area is shown in FIG.

4, as area 52, but that area can be in any of the other quadrants or may be larger than as shown or

may straddle several quadrants. The consideration is always to ensure that the area or island that

has been treated with anti glare material is located away from the peripheral edge 50 of the

reflective surface. According to this embodiment, the portion treated to reduce glare is located in

2

DO NOT ENTER: /TN/

Application No.: 09/757,130 Docket No.: 2200600.00131US1

Response to Office Action dated: November 12, 2009

spaced relation to and not in contact with any portion of the peripheral edges of the mirror surface. There is a logical reason to proceed with the approach of FIG. 4. That is because the image is rather smaller near the mirror edges, and one would not want to miss the image of a child reflected near the circumferential edge 50 of the mirror surface due to dulling of the image. Also, it is perceived that one would typically not encounter undesired reflection near the edges because the edges reflect light in a direction generally away from the school bus driver's eyes."